Defendants' Motion to Dismiss For Failure to Comply with Court Order. Except as to matters

26 DECLARATION OF STACY K. WOLERY IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS - 1

C:\NrPortbl\iManage\HRG1412574

24

25

JACKSON & WALLACE LLP Washington Mutual Tower 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3080 Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 386-0214

23

24

25

26

alleged below as being upon information and belief, I am fully and personally familiar with the facts and circumstances set forth herein.

- 2. This action was commenced by Plaintiffs on or about October 10, 2006.
- 3. Plaintiffs served Impulse and Goldstein on or about February 5, 2007 but failed to serve the remaining named defendants within the 120-day deadline prescribed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).
- 4. On or about March 1, 2007, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause why the matter should not be dismissed as to the remaining named defendants.
- 5. On or about April 11, 2007, the Court issued an order dismissing the remaining defendants from the action.
- 6. On or about May 4, 2007, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, for a more definite statement.
- 7. On or about May 14, 2007, Plaintiffs filed their purported initial disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26. Such disclosures failed to include the referenced CD containing copies of allegedly offending emails. To date, nearly four (4) months later and after numerous inquiries, Defendants have yet to receive such CD.
- 8. On or about July 18, 2007, the Court granted in part Defendants' motion to dismiss, and ordered Plaintiffs to file an amended complaint within thirty (30) days of the date of such order. Plaintiffs' amended complaint was due on or about August 17, 2007. To date, nearly one (1) month <u>after</u> such pleading was due, Plaintiffs have failed to file an amended complaint as ordered.
- 9. On or about August 22, 2007, several days <u>after</u> Plaintiffs' amended complaint was due, Plaintiffs' counsel moved to withdraw as counsel.

	Case 2:06-cv-01469-JCC Document 34 Filed 09/13/2007 Page 3 of 4
1	10. Several days later, on or about August 27, 2007, Plaintiffs filed a motion for a stay
2	11. As a result of Plaintiffs' pattern of misconduct, Defendants' have been forced to
3	
4	expend substantial sums on their legal defense.
5	DATED this 13th day of September, 2007.
6	/a/ Charack W. Walama Eag
7	/s/ Stacy K. Wolery, Esq. Stacy K. Wolery, Esq
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	DECLARATION OF STACY K. WOLERY IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS - 3 Washington Mutual Tower

Document 34

Filed 09/13/2007

(206) 386-0214

Case 2:06-cv-01469-JCC